

Sacred Heart School

250 High Street, Mount Holly, NJ 08060

November 2, 2004

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554


Dear Sirs:

On February 5, 2004, the enclosed "Request for Review" plus supporting documentation was filed within the specified timeframe by Sacred Heart School in Mount Holly, New Jersey to the FCC regarding a decision rendered by the SLD Administrator on December 11, 2003 denying funding for FRN#932304 on Form 471 #346248. As of this date, we have not received a response from the FCC as to the status of this appeal.

We would appreciate if you confirm receipt of the original filing as well as providing an update as to the current disposition of this appeal.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Maniglia
Principal

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE


Where Little People Make the Difference

e-mail: questions@sacred-heart-school.org

URL: <http://www.sacred-heart-school.org>

voice: 609-267-1728 fax: 609-267-4476

**CC Docket No. 02-6
Request for Review**



Sacred Heart School in Mount Holly, New Jersey (Billed Entity 8642) is seeking a request for review of the appeal of the decision rendered by the SLD Administrator on December 11, 2003 regarding FRN#932304 listed on Form 471 #346248.

The SLD denied funding claiming that the contracted we entered into with Voicenet, which provides internet connectivity for the school, was signed outside the allowable date for the Form 470 cited in our application. Voicenet subcontracts with Verizon-NJ for the local loop of the T1 connection to the school. As explained in the attached letter from the legal counsel for Voicenet, the original contract with Voicenet, was "cancelled" for record-keeping purposes only insofar as the change made in the existing services was that of the bandwidth of the T1 connection to the school. Funding had been previously approved and provided through the SLD under this existing contract for prior years.

We explained this matter to the SLD representative who led us to believe that this would not cause a rejection of our funding. Although a new contract required due to the change in bandwidth was signed outside of the allowable date, the existing contract remains in force in terms of the services being provided to the school. Had we not included a copy of this new contract for clarification, there would have been no denial of the funding for these services.

We would appreciate your review of this matter as we contend that we acted in good faith in seeking the most cost effective solution.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Maniglia
Principal